



Ruminations on Alpha vs. Luck

One of the problems we have in selecting investment managers is determining who actually outperforms others. Much of the "alpha" that exists is due to either luck or simply selecting a benchmark that makes the manager look good (instead of the most appropriate one). Most people, financial professionals included, seriously underestimate the level of randomness and how much data would be needed to know, with a high degree of confidence, if one manager is better than another.

[Technical details for those interested: Take the variance (the standard deviation squared) of the fund or portfolio plus the variance of the benchmark minus two times the correlation times the standard deviation of the fund times the standard deviation of the benchmark. Divide that result by the number of observations (how many years or months of data) and then take the square root. Divide the difference in means (the outperformance per period in other words) by your previous result and look up this number on a table (typically Student's T Distribution) to determine what degree of significance there is.]

In other words, imagine a manager with a 2% alpha (which as Bob Arnott notes, he or she would cheerfully kill a grandmother for), the same risk as the market (about 20% standard deviation long-term), and a 90% correlation with the benchmark (again about typical for a manager).

For this phenomenal manager with the 2% outperformance on average, how many years of data would we need to know he or she was good and not just lucky, using (as is customary) a 95% confidence level as the criterion? 56 years. Which means realistically we almost never know with a high degree of certainty if a manager is good or merely lucky.

Notes:

The analysis in this report has been prepared by David E. Hultstrom, MBA, CFP[®], CFA[®]. Mr. Hultstrom is the president of Financial Architects, LLC, a financial planning and wealth management firm. Questions or comments are welcome. He may be reached at (770) 517-8160 or David@FinancialArchitectsLLC.com.

Reasonable care has been taken to assure the accuracy of the data contained herein and comments are objectively stated and are based on facts gathered in good faith. We disclaim responsibility, financial or otherwise, for the accuracy or completeness of this report. Opinions expressed in these reports may change without prior notice and we are under no obligation to update the information to reflect changes after the publication date. Nothing contained in this material is intended to constitute legal, tax, securities, or investment advice, nor an opinion regarding the appropriateness of any investment, nor a solicitation of any type. The general information contained in this publication should not be acted upon without obtaining specific legal, tax, and investment advice from a licensed professional. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. This is not an offer, solicitation, or recommendation to purchase any security or the services of any organization. Forecasting represents predictions of market prices and/or volume patterns utilizing varying analytical data. It is not representative of a projection of the stock market, or of any specific investment. The foregoing represents the thoughts and opinions of Financial Architects, LLC, a registered investment advisor. Your mileage may vary.

This report was originally written in November, 2006 and was last reviewed/updated in January, 2010.

© Financial Architects, LLC